

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 05725.0533-0 BETHUNE 09/506,795 02/18/00 **EXAMINER** IM52/0921 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dun SHORTSLE, K PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1300 I Street N.W. Washington DC 20005-3315 1734 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/21/01

<del>(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/506,795	BETHUNE ET AL.
	Examiner  Kovin R. Shortele	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kevin P. Shortsle ears on the cover sheet with the c	1734 orrespondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	—· s action is non-final.	
,		osecution as to the morits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-75 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795

Art Unit: 1734

4)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 35, 72 73, drawn to a method applying, classified in class
     156, subclass 230.
  - II. Claims 36 57, 71, drawn to a supply strip, classified in class 428, subclass 343.
  - III. Claims 58 70, 74 75, drawn to an apparatus for applying, classified in class 156, subclass 539.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product may be applied by hand, for example using ones' hand to apply pieces of material.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus does not require applying pieces of material from each of the first and second surfaces to objects.

- 4. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the material strip is not required to be configured into the applicator device. The subcombination has separate utility such as the configuration of the strip to be fed into the applicator device further defines the subcombination over the combination.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795

Art Unit: 1734

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I, claims 1 - 35, 72 - 73:

- A1. Using two application stations to perform the claimed method.
- B1. Using one application station to perform the claimed method.
- A2. Applying pieces of material to two different groups of objects.
- B2. Applying pieces of material to common objects.
- A3. Both surfaces have substantially the same piece of material.
- B3. Each surface has a different piece of material.

Group II, claims 36 – 57, 71:

- A4. Labels on first and second surfaces are substantially opposite.
- B4. Labels on each surface are opposite to spaces on the other surface.
- A5. Labels on each surface are substantially the same.
- B5. Labels on the first surface are different from those on the second.
- A6. Labels on each surface are applied to the same object.
- B6. Labels on each surface are applied to a different group of objects.

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795

Art Unit: 1734

Group III, claims 58 – 70, 74 – 75:

A7. Apparatus having two application stations.

B7. Apparatus having one application station.

A8. Labels on each surface are substantially the same.

B8. Labels on the first surface are different than those on the second.

A9. Labels on each surface are applied to the same object.

B9. Labels on each surface are applied to a different group of objects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits, i.e. if Group I is elected, for example, Applicant is required to elect between A1 and B1, A2 and B2, and A3 and B3, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently in Group I, claims 1, 2, 8 – 10, 21 - 35, in Group II, claims 36 – 37, 41 – 43, 47 – 57, and in Group III, claims 58 – 60, 64 – 66 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795

Art Unit: 1734

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Anthony Gutowski on September 18, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/506,795

Art Unit: 1734

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin P. Shortsle whose telephone number is 703-308-8193. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard D. Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7115 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

K. P. Shortsle

September 20, 2001

RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700